

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CASE NO.: 1:15-CV-01046
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	JUDGE SOLOMON OLIVER, JR.
vs.)	
)	<u>NOTICE SUBMITTING CLEVELAND</u>
CITY OF CLEVELAND)	<u>DIVISION OF POLICE POLICY ON</u>
)	<u>COMMUNITY AND PROBLEM</u>
Defendant.)	<u>ORIENTED POLICING AND</u>
)	<u>ASSOCIATED TRAINING ON DATA</u>
)	<u>COLLECTION</u>

The Monitoring Team respectfully submits the recently finalized Cleveland Division of Police (“CDP” or “Division”) Community and Problem Oriented Policing (“CPOP”) General Police Order (Exhibit A), which sets forth the Division’s expectations for its members’ engagement and problem-solving efforts with the community it serves. Additionally, the Monitoring Team submits the associated Community and Problem Oriented Policing Data Collection Training that guides officers on the purpose and manner of collecting data related to their community engagement problem-oriented policing efforts, and specifically how to complete the CPOP Form (Exhibit B).

The Consent Decree requires the Division to “develop and implement a comprehensive and integrated community and problem-oriented policing model in order to promote and strengthen partnerships within the community.” *See* Dkt. No. 7, ¶27. Similarly, the Consent Decree

necessitates that “CDP will develop and implement systems to monitor officer outreach to the community. CDP will use this method to analyze...whether officers are partnering with a broad cross-section of community members to develop and implement cooperative strategies that build mutual respect and identify and solve problems.” *Id.* at ¶33. The CPOP GPO provides specific explanations of why community and problem-oriented policing is important to the Division and the individuals it serves. The GPO also details the Division’s expectations of the minimum amount of time officers should engage in CPOP activities. It also provides distinctive examples of community engagement activities juxtaposed to problem-oriented policing. The GPO discusses the purpose, creation, and use of asset maps by each district while also detailing how the Division anticipates collaborating with the District Policing Committees in the implementation of its CPOP work.

The CPOP GPO also provides substantive guidance by directing officers on how to record their CPOP activities. The associated CPOP Form Training explains how officers are to record their activities and explains the reasons why officers are required to do so. The development of the CPOP GPO and Training are important steps in the Consent Decree, as officers will now record the data necessary to evaluate their activities in future assessments and for the Division to conduct future critical self-analysis.

II. CONCLUSION

The task of the Monitoring Team is to duly consider whether the City’s proposed Community and Problem-Oriented Policing GPO and CPOP Data Collection Training satisfy the terms of the Consent Decree. The Monitoring Team concludes that they do, and, accordingly, requests that this Court approve both items and order them effective immediately.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Hassan Aden

HASSAN ADEN
Monitor
The Aden Group LLC
8022 Fairfax Road
Alexandria, VA 22308
Tel: (571) 274-7821
Email: aden@theadengroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 16, 2021, I served the foregoing document entitled **NOTICE SUBMITTING CLEVELAND DIVISION OF POLICE POLICY ON COMMUNITY AND PROBLEM ORIENTED POLICING AND ASSOCIATED TRAINING ON DATA COLLECTION** via the court's ECF system to all counsel of record.

/s/ Ayesha Hardaway _____
AYESHA HARDAWAY