



Michael C. O'Malley
CUYAHOGA COUNTY PROSECUTOR

April 10, 2019

Chief Calvin Williams
Cleveland Police Department
1300 Ontario Street
Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Re: Detective Robert Norman #1083

Dear Chief Williams,

The Cuyahoga County Prosecutor's Office is required by law to obtain and to disclose to the defense any and all potential impeachment evidence relative to its witnesses. Potential impeachment evidence includes, but is not limited to, any disciplinary actions against officers and any instances involving dishonesty or a lack of candor, or a demonstration of bias. The Cuyahoga County Prosecutor's Office has determined that the attached material we received is NOT potential impeachment evidence. The material is an electronic email correspondence from IA Ron Bakeman wherein he concludes there were no disciplinary violations by Detective Norman when he served as affiant for search warrants in a drug investigation.

We respectfully request that you notify Detective Norton of this decision.

Thank you very much.

Respectfully,

Lisa Reitz Williamson
First Assistant Prosecuting Attorney

Cc: Ronald Bakeman, Superintendent, CPD Internal Affairs

2019 APR 11 AM 9:39
RECEIVED
COURT'S OFFICE

Costanzo, Vanessa

From: Bakeman, Ronald B <RBakeman2@city.cleveland.oh.us>
Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2019 4:26 PM
To: Williamson, Lisa; Sobieski, Kristen
Subject: Brady

January 3rd email:

#'s 1 & 2 **Officer Larry Smith, #882 and Officer Robert Norman, #1803**, you requested information arising out of a request of Sgt. Sheehan, IA, for search warrants previously requested by these officers. By way of background, Sgt. Sheehan was assigned to investigate a complaint filed with OPS that a Det. John Graves, #2225, retaliated against the complainant's family for filing a criminal report against Ronald Graves III [brother of Det. Graves] for the rape of complainant's 3 year old daughter. The retaliation took the form of drug search warrants executed at her residence. The affiant was PO Norman. Both warrants turned up evidence of heroin. Sgt. Sheehan's conclusion is that the complaint against Det. John Graves for retaliation in the form of having search warrants executed at the complainant's residence is not sustained. Although not directly a part of the finding, but it is Sgt. Sheehan's belief that the warrants were executed for a legitimate law enforcement purposes. There will be no disciplinary letter and no disciplinary hearing for either Smith or Norman because no complaint as to them exists and if one did exist as to these search warrants there still will be no hearing because the search warrants were executed for a legitimate law enforcement purpose. You are more than welcome to see the file.

[REDACTED], you requested information because the Garfield Muny Court shows the case dismissed and we (again Sgt. Sheehan) requested a county search warrant for [REDACTED] locker. Evidence from the search of the locker of criminal activity, CCPO declined prosecution related to the search. Regarding the Garfield Muny Court case, that case was picked by the CCPO and [REDACTED] A for various sex related charges. Today Sgt. Sheehan presented a second case to the Grand Jury resulting in I believe 10 additional felony charges against [REDACTED] related to his communications with a minor female requesting nude photographs. I do not yet have today's case number. Shortly after his arrest by [REDACTED] resigned/retired from the Cleveland Police Department.

Ron