

Cleveland Community Police Commission
Budget & Grants Committee Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date, Time and Location

Meeting Date: ____8/8/2023____
Meeting Time: ____6:00____
Meeting Location: ____CPC Office____

[Draft Minutes](#)

This regular/special (select one) meeting of the Cleveland Community Police Commission was called to order on ____ (8/8/2023) ____ at ____ (6:06) ____ by ____ (Chair Adams) ____.

Attendance roll call:

- Adams (chair) – present
- Garrett-Ferguson – present
- Kennedy – present
- van Lier – present
- Zayed – present

Quorum established: yes

I. Approval of Agenda

The agenda for the meeting was distributed and approved.

II. Special Presentation

Summary of presentation: Delante Spencer Thomas, Chief Ethics Officer, City of Cleveland

- Grant Warding Ethics and Recusal Review 13:00
 - Important because Commissioners are very involved with community groups
 - Informal presentation, based on the previous ethics training
 - It's not about volunteering for an organization, it is about you took an oath or have a commitment to do what is in that organization's best interest, fiduciary responsibilities.
 - Put yourself in a position where you could have acted improperly of conflict is the standard.
 - Create a disclosure process
 - Rescue yourself if there is a conflict – it is not just abstaining from voting, you cannot participate in the discussion, nor can you give information not available to the general public
 - *If you have to recuse yourself from one, can you review others, given the nature of the CPC? ED Goodrick requested specific guidance.*
 - It is technically okay for you to help an organization you have not relationship with, but the legal standards is the floor, this might be an example of this.
 - Pay attention to the court of public opinion
 - You will have to make the judgement call on what these mean.
 - Do not overthink this.

III. Old Business - Grants Updates

CPC Community Grants Program Update:

- 92 grants received
- \$4.6 million requested
- Rubric review and scoring applicants
- Application review process
 - Staff will make sure applications are complete
 - Conflict of interest denote, and then divvy up appropriately and score them, two members look at each application divvy
 - We will decide the point cut off
 - *Discussion about the whole process*
- Anticipated response dates and allocation of funds
- Action items

IV. Public Comment

Summary of comments

- Rosie Palfy: wanted to thank everyone for their hard work, but felt underwhelmed, but it is clear that this needed to be agreed to beforehand. Staff should be included. Had a concern with one of the commissioner's behavior (g-f).
- Loh: discussed being on boards, it's better to just establish a routine at the beginning of the year to do a conflict of interest disclosure, have the staff automatically filter before the, and then have them keep them blind from the beginning. Just make the score an average, there will be balance.

V. Formal Adjournment

The meeting was formally adjourned at (5:58) .